DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DISTRICT 4 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE P.O. BOX 23660, MS-10B OAKLAND, CA 94623-0660 PHONE (510) 286-4444 TTY (510) 286-4454 www.dot.ca.gov



Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

December 3, 2012

04-SF-101-GGHT STPL-6003(037) GGB MMB

Mr. Denis Mulligan General Manager Golden Gate Bridge Highway & Transportation District P.O. Box 9000 Presidio Station San Francisco, CA 94129-0601

Attention: Jeffrey Yee

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

This will confirm that your environmental document has been reviewed and approved in conformance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). A copy of the signed document is attached.

With this environmental clearance, you may now proceed with final design activities and preparation of right of way documents as necessary. You are reminded that if Federal participation is desired for the right of way and utility relocation phases, you must request and receive a separate "Authorization to Proceed" for right of way before advancing with the property appraisal and acquisition, or utility relocation work.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 510-622-5930 or Jimmy Panmai at 510-622-5910.

Sincerely,

Hin Kung

Senior Transportation Engineer Office of Local Assistance

Enclosure: NEPA clearance C: Jeffrey Yee, Project Manager

04-SF-MRN-101	9.454 - 0.30	OTDL
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M/P.M.	E.A. (State project) Federal-Aid Project No. (Local project)/ Proj.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:		/Project No.
(Briefly describe project, purpose, lo	cation, limits, right-o	of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation
Highway 101 north of the bridge T	sh and install a mov	eable median barrier system on the Golden Gate Bridge and govern
excavation and reconstruction of the shoulders, modifying existing and in	on of the permanen highway median a stalling new chang the southern end o	reable median barrier system on the Golden Gate Bridge and portions of surnishing and installing the moveable median barrier system and barriet median barrier on Highway 101 north of the bridge, performing minor and shoulders, modifying the existing drainage system along the highway teable message signs, removing and reconstructing a portion of the of the bridge, electrical work, modifying the lane striping (please see pages).
Based on an examination of this	e Projects only)	
There will not be a significant cumu There is not a reasonable possibility This project does not damage a see This project is not located on a site.	ed and officially ado lative effect by this y that the project wi enic resource within	ormation, and the following statements (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical conpted pursuant to law. project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over Il have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstance an officially designated state scenic highway. compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
ALTRANS CEQA DETERMI	NATION (Charle	Zonol
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080)	[h]: 14 CCB 15000	
ased on an examination of this propo-	eal supporting into	et seq.) rmation, and the above statements, the project is:
Categorically Exempt. Class	oar, supporting into	mation, and the above statements, the project is:
		4 CCH 15300 et seq.)
certainty that there is no possibility	Hule exemption. [T / that the activity ma	4 CCR 15300 et seq.) This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3])
	Hule exemption. [T / that the activity ma	4 CCH 15300 et seq.)
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature	Hule exemption. [T	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE	tule exemption. [To that the activity matching the control of the	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and termined that this project: does not individually or cumulatival the	that the activity matching that the activity matching Date	Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Date Dispersion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch of Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and ermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively hequirements to prepare an Environments considered unusual circumstance http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771	That the activity matching that the activity matching Date Date Date d based on an exartance a significant imental Assessment (s pursuant to 23 Cf. I.htm - sec.771.117	Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Displace on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and is excluded from the ER 771.117(b)
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch of Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and ermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively hequirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstance http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771	That the activity matching the activity matching that the activity matching that the activity matching the activity matching that the activity matching that	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has apact on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b)
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and termined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively he requirements to prepare an Environmental considered unusual circumstance http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771 In non-attainment or maintenar requirements, or conformity an 40 CFR 93.128.	That the activity matching that the activity and the activity activity and the activity activity and the activity activity activity activity activity.	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has apact on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity impleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch C Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and the termined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively in requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstance of the termined that the property or cumulatively in requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstance of the termined that the termined th	Date	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has expact on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity explored pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and termined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively he requirements to prepare an Environments considered unusual circumstance http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771 In non-attainment or maintenar requirements, or conformity an 40 CFR 93.128. LTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has been a determination pursuant to Chapter 3 dated June 7, 2010, executed between the conformation and conformation and conformation and conformation and conformation and conformation and conformation pursuant to Chapter 3 dated June 7, 2010, executed between conformation and co	Tion (Check or ssigned, and hereb of Title 23, United the FHWA and the activity material and the column to the col	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has expect on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) The project is either exempt from all conformity mpleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The project is exempt from all conformity mpleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The project is exempt from all conformity mpleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The project is a Categorical Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and the print of the project: does not individually or cumulatively he requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstance that this project: In non-attainment or maintenar requirements, or conformity and 40 CFR 93.128. LTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has been a determination pursuant to Chapter 3 dated June 7, 2010, executed between the project of	That the activity may always a significant important to 23 Cf 1.htm - sec.771.117 ance areas for Federallysis has been consistent of Title 23, United the Title 23, United the The FHWA and the column of the MOULE.	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Date mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has expect on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity expleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The project Manager/DLA Engineer Date Date
Certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch C Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and the comminded that this project: does not individually or cumulatively he equirements to prepare an Environmental circumstance of the comminded unusual circumst	That the activity may always a significant important to 23 Cf 1.htm - sec.771.117 ance areas for Federallysis has been consistent of Title 23, United the Title 23, United the The FHWA and the column of the MOULE.	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with any have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Date Date Initiation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has appact on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and FR 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity mpleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical petween FHWA and the State and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is
Certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch C Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 (accordance on the property of the pr	Date	This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with ay have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Date mination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has expect on the environment as defined by NEPA and is excluded from the EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity expleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The project Manager/DLA Engineer Date Date
certainty that there is no possibility Print Name: Environmental Branch (Signature EPA COMPLIANCE accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and termined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively has considered unusual circumstance (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771 In non-attainment or maintenar requirements, or conformity an 40 CFR 93.128. LTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has been a determination pursuant to Chapter 3 dated June 7, 2010, executed betwee Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c listed in Appendix principles of the property of the state in Appendix principles of the possible of the possible of the principles of the principle	Date	Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Date Display to this proposal and supporting information, the State has a pact on the environment (EIS), and ER 771.117(b) Tal air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity and air quality standards, the project is either exempt from all conformity mpleted pursuant to 42 USC 7506(c) and 40 CFR 93.126, 40 CFR 93.127 The State has determined that the project is a Categorical certain and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical certain supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Hin Kung, PE Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer

Signature

Signature

Continuation Sheet

04-SF-MRN-101	9.454 - 0.30					
Diet Co Pto /ord 14		STPL 6003 (037)				
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M/P.M.	E.A. (State project)	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local project)/ Proj. No.			
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 12/03/2012 Date of FOR						
Continued from page 1:			101 Equivalent. 12/03/2012			

Continued from page 1:

On the south segment along Route 101, in the City and County of San Francisco, two phases will be required: a shortterm phase to accommodate the BTM and MMB system before the Presidio Parkway Project (EA#163700) is complete, and a long-term phase that will extend the MMB system to the completed Presidio Parkway Interchange. In the short-term, the MMB system will terminate just north of the Toll Plaza. In the long-term, the MMB will be extended south to terminate approximately 750 feet south of the toll booths within District right of way. In addition, the four easternmost toll booths will be removed and two toll lanes will be re-constructed and modified to electronic toll

At the north segment of Route 101, from MRN PM L0.0 (the County of Marin boundary) to MRN PM 0.30, the project proposes removal of approximately 900 ft of existing concrete median barrier to provide enough space for the MMB to terminate and store the BTM when not in use. A new pavement section will be constructed where the barrier is removed. Work within this segment also includes drainage improvements, pavement overlay, replacement of an overhead sign, new striping of the northbound (NB) and southbound (SB) travel lanes, and installation of metal beam guard railing (MBGR) between the existing bike path and NB Sausalito Lateral off ramp.

Environmental Commitment:

No expansion of the construction staging areas beyond the existing footprint will be permitted.